## **ARY 29, 2008**

RECEIVED

Maurice Mays

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FEB 05 2008 aew

Full Name Committed Name (It different) Full Address including Name of Institution Box 1000 Sandstone MN 55072

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FEB 0 5 2008

22209	Der (If applicable)		
- IISON WON.		DISTRICT COURT	
	26.	08CV 778 JUDGE GETTLEM MAGISTRATE JU	MAN IDGE SCHENKIER
Mauri	ice Mays  Plaintiff,		plied by the Clerk)
vs. Chica	ago Police Officer Jason Clark	CIVIL RIGHT	TS COMPLAINT TO (check one)
Other	r later named officers	図 42 U.S.C	, §1983
City	of Chicago		Six Unknown Agents 388 (1971); §1331
	Defendant(s),		
<u> </u>	A. JURI	SDICTION	
This Con		Maurid	ce Mays Plaintiff's name)
who present		ss or place of confinem	
 violated by t	the actions of the below-named in		
plaintiff at	Chicago Illinois		and the second s
Nouth or _	(institution/city where	violation occurred)	
on (dates)	November 15, 2001 De	ecember 2001	March 10, 2004
Off (Optera)	(Court I)	cent (I)	(Count III)

§1983-Form CV66(9.94) eff. 7/94

(Count I)

(Count II)

(You ne the info	ed not name more than <u>one</u> defendant; howev rmation below if you are naming <u>more</u> than fiv	rer, make a copy of this page to re (5) defendents.)
	nt Officer Jason Clark	residue or WOTES AT
Detailte	(full name of first defendant)	
Ci	y of Chicago	, and is employed as
(full add	ress of first defendant)	
Chi	ago Police Officer	This defendant is sued
(defend	int's position and title, if any)	
in his/he	r: $\underline{X}$ individual $\underline{X}$ official capacity. (Check	one or both).
Explain	now this defendant was acting under col 🔻 of	law:
Αt	the time of the incident(s) defendan	t was on duty as a Chicago
Police	Officer under the supervision of th	e City of Chicago.
Defenda	nt Other Officers later to be named	resides or works at
	(full name of Second defendant)	•
City	of Chicago	, and is employed as
(full add	ress of Second defendant)	
Chica	go Police Officers	. This defendant is sued
(defend	nt's position and title, if any)	
Explain	hr: $X$ individual $X$ official capacity. (Check how this defendant was acting under color of the time of incident(s) defendants w	law:
<del>/ / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>	Officers under the supervision of t	
<del>.,, ., .,</del>		
Defenda		resides or works at
	(full name of Third defendant)	
C:	ty of Chocago	, and is employed #s
(full add	eas of Third defendant)	
(	overning Body	. This defendant is sued
	ant's position and title, if any)	
in his/h	r: X individual X official capacity. (Check	one or both).
-	how this defendant was acting under color of	
	t the time of incident(s) said Chica	
work	ng under City of Chicago authority a	nd supervision.

Dafer	ndantresides or works at
D.0101	ndantresides or works at  (full name of Fourth defendant), and is employed as
(full a	address of Fourth defendant)
	This defendant is sued
(cefe	ndant's position and title, if any)
in his	her:individualofficial capacity. (Check one or both).
Expla	ain how this defendant was acting under color of law:
Deter	ndantresides or works at (full name of Fifth defendant)
	, and is employed a
(full a	address of Fifth defendant)
	. This defendant is sue
•	ndent's position and title, if any)
in his	s/her:individualofficial capacity. (Check one or both).
	ain how this defendant was acting under color of law:
Juris	diction is invoked pursuant to:
[2]	28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983;
C3	Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971); 28 U.S.C. § 133 If you wish to assert jurisdiction under different or additional statutes, list them below.
	B. NATURE OF THE CASE
Brief	fly state the background of your case.
On	n November 15, 2001 at approximately 10:10pm plaintiff was stoppe
by	va green colored unmarked vehicle. After pulling over on the 480
οί	Sheridan Rd, plaintiff was placed in handcuffs by two of the tr
	ersons who occupied said vehicle (the third person in the vehicle

was Paula Woods). About ten minutes after being handcuffed, another unmarked vehicle approached, and a conversation ensued between the occupants of both vehicles. Shortly therafter, plaintiff was placed into a pattywagon and driven to the 23rd district Chicago Police (see next page)

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

# COUNT

"no probable cause to stop and/or arrest"  Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each defendant (by name) did to violate your right).  Plaintiff was stopped on the night in quetion by CPD officers who were under false pretenses initiated by officer J. Clark.  Plaintiff was also arrested under same such pretenses.  COUNT !!  The following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution  "denying a defendant Due Process"	The following c	ivil right has been violated:
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be certain you describe. In separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each defendant (by name) did to violate your right].  Plaintiff was stopped on the night in quetion by CPD officers  who were under false pretenses initiated by officer J. Clark.  Plaintiff was also arrested under same such pretenses.  COUNT II  The following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	4th Amendme	nt of the U.S. Constitution
clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each defendant (by name) did to violate your right].  Plaintiff was stopped on the night in quetion by CPD officers  who were under false pretenses initiated by officer J. Clark.  Plaintiff was also arrested under same such pretenses.  COUNT #  The following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	" no probab	le cause to stop and/or arrest"
count is  count		
Plaintiff was also arrested under same such pretenses.  COUNT II  the following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	loarly, in your o ertain you des efendant (by n	own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be cribe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each ame) did to violate your right].
COUNT II he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	who were und	er false pretenses initiated by officer J. Clark.
COUNT II  The following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	Plaintiff wa	s also arrested under same such pretenses.
COUNT II  The following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	**************************************	
COUNT II he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution		***************************************
COUNT II he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution		
he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution		·
he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	
he following civil right has been violated:  5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution		
5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution		COUNT IF
	he following ci	vil right has been violated:
denying a defendant Due Process"		
"Manufacturing/Fabricating (false) evidence" "Providing false testing		

station where he was charged and process for Delivery of a Controlled Substance. The following morning, plaintiff along with the Charging Instruments manufactured by CPD officer J. Clark (including an official CPD evidence inventory sheet) were sent to the Cook County Jail and Clerk to process for case # 01CR30511-02 in-which later a Grand Jury panel was formed for. Based on the testimony and aforementioned documents by officer J. Clark, a True Bill of indictment was passed and plaintiff Mays were bound over to the cheif judge to stand trial.

In late fall of 2003, after having been subpeoned for a motion to show just cause, did officer J. Clark submit the document(s) that were demanded by plaintiff Mays' motion for additional Discovery pertaining to alleged pre-recorded U.S. currency alllegedly recovered from plaintiff Mays on the evening of the arrest Nov 15, 2001.

On March 10, 2004, the first day of trial for said case, Honorable Judge M. Laws <u>denied</u> any and all submitted documents pertaining to pre-recorded funds to be admitted as evidence including the or any U.S. currency).

On March 12, 2004 a finding of Not Guilty was ruled by said Honorable Judge Laws for case # 01CR30511-02.

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be

efendant	(by name) (	did to viola	te your righ	141.		tly what eac	,
CPD Offic	er J. Gla	rk wanutad	tured 1ac	oricated (	evidence a	and document	<u>s</u>
that he	presented	to the Gra	and Jury p	anel for	case # 0	1Cr3051102	
in-which	he also p	rovided fa	lse and m	nisleadin	g testimo	ny that infl	<u>1-</u>
uenced tl	ne panel t	o grant a	True Bill	of Indi	ctment for	r said case.	<del>1</del>
Later, a	fter submi	tting add	tional me	nufactur	ed fabrica	ated documen	nts
to the t	ial court	, officer	J. Clark	gave the	same fals	se and misle	eac
ing test	imony to t	rial Judge	· Laws.	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>
			,		·		<del>, ,,</del>
	Marian - 184/418 - 17						
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
. <del>( </del>							
				<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			<del></del>	•41-			
	, RALVEL ST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
	<u>.</u>			- · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		1 7		<u> </u>			
	<del></del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	#. <del>***. *******************************</del>						

#### Count III

1"he fo	liowing civil right has been violated:
8th A	Amendment of the U.S. Constitution
" Fal	se Imprisonment"
" Fal	se Arrest"
clearly certain	rting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each ant (by name) did to violate your right).
l. Due t	o the actions of CPD officer J. Clark as so described in part B
of th	is complaint, plaintiff Mays spent approximately 345 days in
custo	dy for case # 01CR30511-02.
·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. I	Oue to the actions of the later to be named CPD officers who
were	invoved in the arrest of plaintiff on Nov 15, 2001, plaintiff
spent	approximately 345 days in custody.
	the time of the incident(s) that led plaintiff to spending approach 345 days in custody, the CPD officers involved were employed
<del></del>	supervised by the City of Chicago
	The state of the stay of the s
	1
<del>,</del>	

### D. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

a)	Parties to previous lawsuit: Plaintiff(s): Maurice Mays
	Defendant(s): CPD officer Jason Clark, City of Chicago
<b>b</b> )	Name of Court and Docket No.: U.S. District Court Northern Distr
	f Illinois Case # 107-cv-00345
c)	Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or still pending?): <u>Dismissed w/o prejudice</u>
d)	Issues raised: Plaintiff did not pay filing fee 15 days after
	denial of in forma pauperis petition.
θ}	Approximate date it was filed: Feb 2007
f) I hav	Approximate date of disposition: <u>April 5, 2007</u> a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative
f) I havi offici is "Yi	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  e previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative tals regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YES NO. If your answe
f) I havi offici is "Yi	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative is regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YES NO. If your answers, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answers
f) I havi offici is "Yi	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative is regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YES NO. If your answers, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answers
f) I have offici is "Yi	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative is regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YES NO. If your answers, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answers
f) I have offici is "Yi	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative is regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YES NO. If your answers, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answers

## E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

1,000,000 in compensitory damag	<u>zes                                     </u>
31,000,000 in punitive damages	
	2.1
ame of person who wrote this implaint if not plaintiff)	(Signature of Plaintiff)  1-30-08 (Date)
	•
dditional space if needed; identify w	hat paragraph is being continued).
dditional space if needed: identify w	hat paragraph is being continued).
	hat paragraph is being continued).